

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vfBD0JpeKEw>

Pennsylvania Hearing 11/25/20 called by the PA Senate Majority Policy Committee

0:00 Rudy Giuliani, introduction to problem

A multiplicity of types of fraud of similar form in 6 state they have observed.

General mail-out ballots lead to enormous fraud, as studied and documented in past, including in NYT in 2012, and by Jimmy Carter and James Baker.

In Philadelphia and Allegheny Co and one or two other counties: no Republican had the opportunity to inspect a mail-in ballot before the envelope with its identifiers was separated from the ballot, and all proof of validity vanishes.

Numbers of mailed ballots:

682,770 mail-in ballots in Phil & Alleg Co entered into elections results without observation by a Republican.

PA sent out 1,823,148 ballots and received back about 1.4 million. Counted in the election: 2.5 million mail-in ballots. 2,589,242 to be exact. An extra 700,000 counted that were never sent out.

Ballots returned on the day they were mailed in Philadelphia 22,876.

32,591 returned the day after.

20,000 returned the day BEFORE they were mailed.

8021 mail-in ballots from dead people.

0:16 Witness 1: Justin Kweder, Esq. GOP canvasser, volunteer observer for 85 hours in 10 days at Philadelphia counting center. This is a short opening statement

Hall F. 120,000 sq. ft hall, 350x350 ft. Barrier 50 ft from one side.

96% of the workers were processing ballots 15 to more than 200 feet away from the closest inspector, behind a barrier.

Second issue: Pink highlighted duplicated ballots. Could not be read by the scanner. Workers given stacks of duplicate ballots to fill in. After some time, and observers realized what was going on and objected, the workers started to flash the pink and new white ballots for 1 sec at a distance of 6 to 20 ft away. Thousands of mail-in ballots were counted in this way.

0:23 Witness 2: Kim Peterson, Pittsburgh, GOP canvasser, observed at warehouse where mail-in ballots were opened and processed. We, too, were kept in a corral, 15 to 100 feet away. We could not see anything: how

envelopes were filled out, what they did with them, anything we were supposed to see. TV-type monitors were blurry and showed no detail.

0:26 Witness 3: Leah Hoops, Delaware Co, Chester, PA. Local republican committee member, started watchdog group, supported by Thomas More Society, to monitor completely Democrat county commission and the mostly Democrat election board.

Private grants from Center for Tech and Life owned by Google and Mark Zuckerberg were used by the election board.

The board approved "pop-up sites" in mostly heavily Democrat cities where a person could walk in, apply, get a ballot, submit it, and walk out the door. These were not set up in mostly Republican areas. The private grant money used for this = electioneering.

\$2.1 million spent to move the counting center from the county courthouse to a building on the wharf in Chester. Her description of the rooms and access, and a back room where there was no observation, until her group got an injunction and then were only allowed 5 min every 2 hrs at 20 feet from any ballot. She had recruited the next witness to be part of observing.

0:32 Witness 4: Gregory Stentstrom, forensic computer scientist, expert in security and fraud, data scientist, former Navy commanding officer, CEO of his own company. One of 2 observers in Chester, a city of about 40,000. 22 precincts. They observed at all 7 polling stations. People whose mail-in ballots had not been registered yet in the system were allowed to enter regular, not provisional ballots. They spoke to the election judges who said they could not do anything about it.

Arrived at counting center on the wharf at 6 PM with 4 others and not allowed into counting center until 11 PM, with legal help. Told all day there were 10 to 20 GOP observers there and everything in hand; not true.

"Forensically destructive" process in counting the mail-in ballots in Delaware County, resulting in what he estimates to be 100,000 to 120,000 votes in the county to be unverified mail-in ballots.

County has 425,000 registered voters, about 300,000 votes cast in the county, of which more than 100,000 were unobserved, and unverifiable after the moment when the identifying envelope was separated from the ballot.

Recounts of these ballots are thus meaningless, as it cannot be shown later whether they were authentic. "The notion of a recount in a forensically destructive process does not work out very well," i.e. it's meaningless.

The chain of custody for all cases was broken: for mail-in and for drop box ballots, and for the "election day USBV card flash drives." They followed none of the procedures of the Del Co Board of Elections official guidance.

He brought to the attention of deputy sheriff and clerk of elections present: 24 times he saw the voting machine warehouse supervisor walk in with baggies with USB's, putting them unobserved into voting machines—meaning there were not Republican and Democrat observers who knew what these were.

47 of these cards are missing.

One of the cards added 50,000 votes—he had to demand to see the vote updated after one of these USBs was put into the voting machines.

Admitted under legal intervention to back locked rooms on Thursday 11/5. They saw approximately another 70,000 ballots in boxes in the back room after they had been told that all the mail-in ballots were already processed.

As a data scientist I wanted to see where the data was coming in and I wanted to know the universe of votes to be counted. 120K + 6K still to be counted, plus unexplained extra 70K in the back. How did the data come in and go out of the system?

He asked numerous law enforcement officers to collect the evidence forensically from the machines, to prove the voting process, to refute what I am saying, and described to the audience how this is done. 5 machines, would have taken an hour for all. Forensic images of the drives. Never done, despite my objections. Requests refused.

All the chain of custody logs, records, custody sheets are gone. 100-120K ballots, mail-in and USB, in question with no cure or remedy. We could have a new local election but there is no cure for president of the US. The Democrats who unilaterally designed the procedures did not follow them.

0:48 Witness 5: Colonel Phil Waldron, 30 yrs in Army, electronic warfare, deception officer. Working on the voting fraud problem for last 2 years b/o anomalies in 2018 elections. Voter machines "built to be manipulated" and share common code through company divisions and mergers. Venezuela link and origin confirmed. Analysis of anomalous spikes in flow of votes on election day and after. In state of PA, over 600,000 votes in spikes for Biden and 3200 for Trump.

1:01 Witness 6: Gary Feldman, GOB poll observer in Philadelphia, with certificate to observe at any poll in Philadelphia, excluded from polls. Video is on the Twitter of Will Chamberlain, Esq., with whom he was working. Fact-checkers applied untrue statements to the tweeted.

1:07 Dave Schistokas, Esq. Lawyer, lots of experience with elections and election law enforcement in Illinois and Florida. Found corruption with absentee ballot handling in Florida in 2016; judge came on site to make sure rules followed. Head of group of Lawyers for Trump in Philadelphia, there from October 24. He organized the lawyer canvassers before the election. Last-minute, excessive demands by election board blocked 20-25 of 70 lawyers enrolled requiring signatures all of a sudden on Friday, October 30.

"It goes down to the petty in terms of interfering with access, and rule changes by state and local boards of election."

Corral area, 15-20 ft to 150 ft to nearest observer. 20,000 square ft room. Other rooms...

Mail-in ballots were coming into that room with the envelopes already sliced open. Numerous other mysterious processes they observed, could not understand and did not get explanations.

He asked the county solicitor if he could explain to him the process a ballot follows from the door. "No." He asked if there was a written protocol for what there were doing. "No." He was the one supposed to be giving the people guidance on how to legally handle ballots. No way to challenge and no way

What legitimizes elections is the ability of an adversarial 3rd party to review what the process is. This was completely and utterly absent. 20-30 affidavits from other attorneys in Allegheny County showed a similar lack of process.

PA Supreme Court had handed down a decision before election day that it doesn't matter if observers can be admitted or how far away they are, as they cannot challenge and cannot do anything anyway.

Elizabeth Pre..., Esq., head of Montgomery Co Republican Party.

Never allowed to see the absentee ballots.

Nov. 1—tour of the canvassing site, informed that not permitted to see the ballots.

Her county not provide the Republican party participation in the pre-canvass period. Montgomery County Democrats invited to "cure" ballots with errors before the election, not Republicans.

Neighboring law-following county went for Trump by 8%, where no one invited to come in to "cure" a ballot.